



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,789	04/08/2005	Yutaka Tokiwa	SAEG 184.001APC	9374

20995 7590 03/01/2010
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

GOON, SCARLETT Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1623

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/01/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/530,789	Applicant(s) TOKIWA ET AL.	
	Examiner SCARLETT GOON	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) SCARLETT GOON.

(2) Mr. Raymond Smith.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2010

Time: 4:06 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11, 41 and 45-48

Prior art documents discussed:

Verotta on IDS dated 1 July 2005

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Smith, Applicants' representative, was contacted regarding potential allowable subject matter. The Examiner indicated to Mr. Smith that although Applicants amended claim 41 into an independent claim to overcome the 112-2nd rejection of record, the claim was still not allowable because 6-O-benzoyl arbutin is disclosed in the Verotta reference. Thus, Applicants are requested to delete that compound to put the examined claims in condition for allowance. Furthermore, the withdrawn method claims are not in condition for allowance because of 112 issues. Thus, as this application is currently After Final action, Applicants are requested to cancel the method claims. Mr. Smith indicated he would discuss the amendments with the Applicants.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCARLETT GOON/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)